

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/528,447	MARION, VINCENT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANDRAE S. ALLISON	2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANDRAE S. ALLISON (3) _____

(2) Kenneth Berner (Req #: 37.093) (4) _____

Date of Interview: June 18, 2009

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In an effort to expedite prosecution of the case, the Examiner contacted Applicant representative in order to obtain authorization to do an Examiner's Amendment to overcome a 101, 'led to' rejection. Applicant representative gave the Examiner authorization to amend the claims to include the phrase "a computer performing the steps of".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrae S Allison/